- to was marked as Comcast Exhibit
- No. 417 for identification.)
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right.
- 4 We're taking these in sequence. Comcast 417
- 5 is identified as -- Would you identify it
- 6 please?
- 7 MR. BURKE: This is an email dated
- 8 October 1, 2007 as well as an email string
- 9 that's attached to it.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: And it's from Brian
- 11 Decker to Steve Bornstein.
- MR. BURKE: That's correct.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: So this is an
- 14 internal NFL.
- MR. BURKE: That is correct, Your
- 16 Honor.
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's marked for
- 18 identification as you described it.
- MR. BURKE: Right.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: And it's Comcast
- 21 417.
- BY MR. BURKE:

```
1 Q Have you ever seen this document,
```

- 2 Dr. Singer? Take your time.
- 3 A I'm going to start at the bottom
- 4 because it's an email chain. I can't recall
- 5 seeing this document.
- 6 MR. BURKE: Let's go through it.
- 7 I guess one question just in terms of how we
- 8 would admit this, Your Honor, before I go and
- 9 question the witness about this. I think that
- 10 it's indisputable that it's a document
- 11 produced by the NFL.
- MR. SCHMIDT: We have no
- 13 objection.
- MR. BURKE: So we'll move for
- 15 admission.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead. It's in.
- 17 (The document referred to having
- 18 been previously marked for
- 19 identification as Comcast Exhibit
- No. 417, was received in
- 21 evidence.)
- MR. BURKE: Thank you very much.

```
1 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's in. It's
```

- 2 received.
- 3 BY MR. BURKE:
- 4 Q Do you know who Steve Bornstein
- 5 is?
- 6 A I believe so.
- 7 Q He's the President and CEO of the
- 8 NFL Network, right?
- 9 A I believe so.
- 10 Q Okay. So at the bottom of this
- 11 email chain we have Steve Bornstein writing
- 12 Brian Decker "Do we have a WOW deal?" Do you
- 13 see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And I think we talked about WOW
- 16 yesterday. That's an acronym for Wide Open
- 17 West, right?
- 18 A Correct.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Wide Open West?
- MR. BURKE: Correct.
- 21 BY MR. BURKE:
- 22 Q Actually is that correct, Dr.

- 1 Singer?
- 2 A That is correct.
- 3 Q All right. And Wide Open West is
- 4 an over builder. Is that right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And they actually compete in a
- 7 region with Comcast. Is that right?
- 8 A I believe so. I can't say for
- 9 certainty I know which areas of the country
- 10 that the WOW has over built. They're a very
- 11 small provider.
- 12 Q But, for example, they serve
- 13 Michigan and then overbuild Comcast in the
- 14 Michigan area.
- 15 A That sounds reasonable.
- 16 O So Brian Decker writes beck to
- 17 Steve Bornstein, "Yes, they carry us on
- 18 digital basic but haven't agreed to carry the
- 19 games. I continue to go back and forth with
- 20 them but they feel that the 12 month cost for
- 21 eight games is too much and they want the
- 22 right to move us to a sports tier since they

- 1 mainly compete with Comcast." Do you see
- 2 that?
- A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q Okay. And then there's a response
- 5 to that and then at the very top the email I
- 6 wanted to point you to you're welcome to read
- 7 the whole thing, but the top email from Brian
- 8 Decker to Steve Bornstein says, "Believe me.
- 9 I understand they made that pitch. They just
- 10 don't think the eight games are worth the
- 11 price and they feel they should be given the
- 12 same flexibility as Comcast." Do you see
- 13 that?
- 14 A Yes, I see it.
- 15 Q Okay. Now you testified that the
- in region rivals of Comcast were MVPDs that we
- 17 should pay particular attention to. Do you
- 18 recall that yesterday?
- 19 A Absolutely.
- 20 Q And that in fact their experience
- 21 is a very good comparison for Comcast in
- 22 determining whether Comcast is engaged in any

- l discrimination. Do you recall that?
- 2 A Absolutely and that's the four
- 3 most significant in region rivals carry the
- 4 NFL with the subcharge in the most highly
- 5 penetrated tier.
- 6 Q Now you used Wide Open West in
- 7 regression. Isn't that right?
- 8 A Yes, I did.
- 9 MR. BURKE: Thank you. You can
- 10 put that one aside. If I may, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Please.
- 12 MR. BURKE: I'll mark another
- 13 exhibit.
- MR. BURKE: Please. Yes.
- MR. BURKE: This is going to be
- 16 Comcast Exhibit 410.
- 17 (Whereupon, the document referred
- 18 to was marked as Comcast Exhibit
- No. 410 for identification.)
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Please just briefly
- 21 identify it for the record please, Mr. Burke.
- MR. BURKE: This is a cover email

- 1 from Brian Decker and he's attaching a
- 2 PowerPoint presentation and it is dated -- I
- 3 don't have it. Sorry. It is dated May 20,
- 4 2008.
- 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, and the
- 6 numbers are pages 656 to 667. Is that right?
- 7 Using the last three digits.
- 8 MR. BURKE: Yes, that's correct,
- 9 Your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. It's
- 11 been identified as you have described it as
- 12 Comcast Exhibit No. 410 for identification.
- MR. SCHMIDT: And let me just say.
- 14 I'm not sure this is an attachment. I'm just
- 15 looking for the indication. I apologize. I'm
- 16 just not seeing it.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay.
- MR. SCHMIDT: It doesn't have an
- 19 attachment on it. I may be missing it.
- 20 MR. BURKE: I don't think it's
- 21 critical. If we want to just focus on the
- 22 PowerPoint presentation at the back and mark

- 1 that as a separate exhibit, that's fine.
- 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: How come everything
- 4 is redacted on the front two pages of this?
- 5 MR. SCHMIDT: I suspect this was
- 6 privileged, Your Honor, but I don't know the
- 7 answer sitting here right now. I'd have to go
- 8 back and look.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Privileged in the
- 10 sense of what? Of work product? It's not
- 11 work product.
- MR. SCHMIDT: It's tough for me to
- 13 answer that on the fly, Your Honor.
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, take a
- 15 look would you please?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Absolutely.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Because I don't
- 18 want to be looking at any redacted material
- 19 if you're asking to assess the significance of
- 20 the document.
- 21 MR. BURKE: We're actually going
- 22 to be focusing on the PowerPoint presentation

- 1 at the back.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
- 3 MR. BURKE: Not the cover email.
- 4 I think this is the way it was produced to us
- 5 in sequence. So we inferred that these
- 6 documents were related to each other.
- 7 MR. SCHMIDT: So do we just rip of
- 8 the first one?
- 9 MR. BURKE: That's fine with me.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: What are you doing?
- 11 What are you ripping off?
- MR. BURKE: Well, I would be happy
- 13 to keep it as it is as well. I think what
- 14 counsel for the NFL is suggesting is that we
- 15 remove the cover email and focus solely on the
- 16 PowerPoint presentation that begins at 660.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no. We're not
- 18 going to take anything -- we're not going to
- 19 rip anything off, 658. 656 is the first page.
- 20 657 is the second page.
- MR. BURKE: Right.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: 658 is where you

- 1 get the content.
- 2 MR. BURKE: That's correct and
- 3 that was something that was part of the
- 4 original email as far as we can tell and then
- 5 there is a PowerPoint presentation that
- 6 immediately follows it and we believe is
- 7 attached to it.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And that's called
- 9 660 and it's called Distribution and Sales
- 10 Strategy.
- 11 MR. BURKE: That's correct.
- 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, Your Honor.
- MR. BURKE: And they follow next.
- 14 That's the way they were produced to us next
- 15 to each other.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: So you're not sure
- 17 that these documents are integral documents.
- 18 They could be just cobbled together from
- 19 various sources.
- MR. BURKE: We don't think that's
- 21 the case, Your Honor, but I don't think it
- 22 really matters. So I don't want to belabor

- 1 the point. So if it would expedite things
- 2 we're happy to just focus on the PowerPoint
- 3 that begins at 660.
- 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. See I
- 5 still have my request to see if you can find
- 6 me the redacts and I'll look at them in
- 7 camera.
- 8 MR. SCHMIDT: We appreciate that,
- 9 Your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: And hand it back to
- 11 you probably. Okay. Let's go.
- MR. BURKE: So can we move to
- 13 admit this into evidence, Your Honor?
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: If there's no
- 15 objection.
- 16 MR. SCHMIDT: I don't see how this
- 17 witness can be vehicle for admitting this. I
- 18 would like to see just like Mr. Burke said --
- 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Good point. Let's
- 20 see how far the witness gets with it before.
- 21 BY MR. BURKE:
- 22 Q Do you recognize this document,

- 1 Dr. Singer?
- 2 A I don't recognize it right now,
- 3 but I can't rule out the possibility that it's
- 4 one of hundreds of documents that I've looked
- 5 at.
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: He's starting with
- 7 just page 660. Just this page.
- 8 MR. BURKE: Right.
- 9 BY MR. BURKE:
- 10 Q And I want to just focus you on
- 11 certain passages in this document. You're
- 12 obviously welcome to look at the entire
- 13 document. I wanted to focus you on 662 which
- 14 is entitled "Time Warner Cable." That's at
- 15 the top. And sort of the bullet points
- 16 listed, the first bullet point under Time
- 17 Warner Cable lists "costs and level of
- 18 distribution required by NFLN." Do you see
- 19 that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And it also references "anger over
- 22 local and national marketing." Do you see

- 1 that?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And do you see anything on this
- 4 page indicating that from the NFL's
- 5 perspective there's any kind of collusion
- 6 between Comcast and Time Warner?
- 7 A Again, I'm very sensitive to the
- 8 word "collusion" because I never asserted
- 9 that. I asserted that there's good evidence
- 10 that the decision to not carry was made
- 11 jointly. But if it's okay whether I can
- 12 answer or not there's any evidence to support
- 13 that hypothesis in this.
- 14 Q If you could answer my question
- 15 that would be great.
- 16 A Well, I think we're having a
- 17 problem and it happened yesterday too where I
- 18 believe you mischaracterized my testimony and
- 19 then asked me if I could find evidence to
- 20 support a mischaracterization.
- 21 Q Well, I think your answer is no.
- 22 There is no evidence of collusion on this

- 1 page.
- 2 A But I don't' want to grant you a
- 3 mischaracterization of what I wrote in my
- 4 testimony, what I said in during my
- 5 deposition.
- 6 Q Dr. Singer, we're going to be here
- 7 all afternoon if you refuse to answer the
- 8 questions I ask you. Do you see any evidence
- 9 on this page indicating that there is
- 10 collusion between Time Warner and Comcast, its
- 11 decision making vis ... vis the NFL?
- 12 A I can answer that question.
- 13 O Great.
- 14 A The point that comes to mind, I
- 15 think there's about ten points on this or 11
- 16 points and the one that's closest to a piece
- 17 of evidence that cited was the notion that
- 18 they did jointly for the Sunday Ticket. My
- 19 simple point on that is that if they're
- 20 bidding jointly for one piece of NFL
- 21 programming.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait just a second.

- 1 The outstanding question is and you read that
- 2 to respond to was is there any evidence on
- 3 that page of collusion between Time Warner and
- 4 Comcast. Yes or no and then you can explain
- 5 it.
- 6 THE WITNESS: There may be.
- 7 That's what I -- What I'm pointing to is the
- 8 fact, Your Honor, is that the parties have a
- 9 history of bidding for NFL programming jointly
- 10 that is a different type of programming. But
- 11 all the same it is an NFL programming and in
- 12 particular it's called the NFL Ticket. These
- 13 are the out of region games that are now being
- 14 purchased by DIRECTV.
- So the third bullet says "Want
- 16 Sunday Ticket considerations." And it just
- 17 reminds me of the fact that they're likely not
- 18 going after that Sunday Ticket programming
- 19 independently. Instead they are going after
- 20 it jointly and with Comcast. So it reinforces
- 21 my suspicion that the decision with respect to
- 22 other NFL programming is also being made

```
1 jointly.
```

- 2 BY MR. BURKE:
- 3 Q Dr. Singer, that was an
- 4 interesting speech. There's no reference on
- 5 this page to joint bidding for the Sunday
- 6 Ticket. You're just elaborating on that,
- 7 right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Let's go to page six of this
- 10 document which is 665 and there's a reference
- 11 to "Mediacom" here. This is update on
- 12 Mediacom. Do you see that?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And it says "cost/packaging
- 15 remained the issues. They proposed ala carte
- 16 subscription or sports tier as option." Do
- 17 you see that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And that's similar to the points
- 20 that Comcast has made about the NFL Network,
- 21 right?
- A Similar to the points made in this

- 1 litigation, yes.
- 2 Q If you would turn to the next page
- 3 which is 666 and this entitled "Others." And
- 4 there's one reference to a Verizon update. Do
- 5 you see that? "Verizon MSO update" in the
- 6 second column.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And I think you testified earlier
- 9 that Verizon is an in region rival of Comcast
- 10 and so we should pay particular attention to
- 11 that, right?
- 12 A To be precise, I said that I'd
- 13 like to bring Verizon into my market
- 14 penetration test but I couldn't because I was
- using the FCC's 2006 data and if I could then
- 16 the carriage when you exclude Comcast would be
- 17 even bigger than 50 percent.
- 18 Q And it lists -- It says "Verizon
- 19 will be looking for a rate reduction and an
- 20 MFN as part of a renewal." Do you see that?
- 21 A I see that.
- 22 Q And it says, their contract is set

- 1 to expire at the end of this year, right? Did
- 2 you know that Verizon was going to be looking
- 3 for a rate reduction in connection with this
- 4 contract with the NFL this year?
- 5 A I didn't know it and it frankly
- 6 doesn't surprise me. I'm looking for a rate
- 7 reduction in my mortgage right now. We're all
- 8 looking for rate reductions.
- 9 Q The next column says "AT&T MSO
- 10 Update." And AT&T is another in region rival
- 11 that you've suggested we should pay attention
- 12 to, right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q AT&T is obviously a telephone
- 15 company, but that it's entered into
- 16 competition in the video business recently.
- 17 A Yes, and both AT&T and Verizon
- 18 carry NFL Network on their most penetrated
- 19 tier with a surcharge.
- 20 Q Right and AT&T the update for them
- 21 it says,
- Do you see that?

- 1 A Yes, I do.
- 2 Q Did you know that AT&T was
- 3 expressing anger over their rate card and
- 4 carriage level for the NFL Network?
- 5 A I did not.
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Where do you see
- 7 that? I'm sorry. AT&T?
- 8 MR. BURKE: Yes, that's third
- 9 column, Your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: I see that. I've
- 11 got the third column.
- MR. BURKE: It's the second bullet
- 13 point.
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: "Very focused on
- 15 AT&T branding 7 something market."
- MR. BURKE: No, I'm sorry. It's
- 17 up on the -- it's on the first --
- 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: I see. Anger.
- 19 Okay. Yes, I see.
- 20 MR. BURKE: I would like to mark
- 21 another exhibit for identification.
- 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: So we've finished

- 1 with 410.
- MR. BURKE: We are, Your Honor.
- 3 This document has already been, if I may, Your
- 4 Honor this has already been a trial exhibit.
- 5 We have extra copies. It might be easier than
- 6 going through the binders.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you may use it
- 8 that way. It doesn't look like it's too
- 9 cumbersome.
- MR. BURKE: No, it's -- Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection.
- 12 It's going to be a repetitious exhibit.
- MR. SCHMIDT: No. We appreciate
- 14 the courtesy.
- 15 (Off the record discussion.)
- MR. BURKE: So this document has
- 17 already been I believe moved into evidence.
- 18 So we're not going to go through that.
- 19 BY MR. BURKE:
- 20 Q But I'll ask you, Dr. Singer,
- 21 whether you've seen this.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute.

- 1 It's already -- Just a second. It's Exhibit
- 2 52 Comcast. I'm going to still have it marked
- 3 and received here as a cross examination
- 4 exhibit because the record isn't going to be
- 5 able to show and make any sense out of what
- 6 you're saying. I understand where you're
- 7 going.
- 8 MR. BURKE: Okay.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So this
- 10 is going to be this is pages 486 to 513 from
- 11 Brent Fisher, is that right, to Mark Keys, et
- 12 al., RE: AT&T and the date is June 26, 2007
- 13 and this is an internal document from NFL
- 14 Enterprise.
- 15 (Whereupon, the document referred
- 16 to was marked as Comcast Exhibit
- No. 52 for identification.)
- MR. BURKE: That is what we
- 19 understand, Your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Then
- 21 it's marked for identification for cross
- 22 examination. We'll just make an extra

- 1 notation. Cross examination Comcast Exhibit
- 2 52.
- 3 MR. BURKE: And I believe, Your
- 4 Honor, just to make sure the record is clear,
- 5 someone has passed me a note suggesting I
- 6 should move Exhibit 410 into evidence.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Thank you.
- 8 Whoever that person is, thank you very much.
- 9 Is there any objection?
- 10 MR. SCHMIDT: The only thing we
- 11 would ask, Your Honor, is did this with one of
- our exhibits where it was actually a combined,
- 13 where there were two separate combined
- 14 documents we marked them as two separate
- 15 exhibits a and b. We'd ask that we do that
- 16 here.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what do you
- 18 want to mark as a?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Everything before
- 20 page 660.
- 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So it
- 22 will be 410(a) would be from 656 to 659 I

- 1 guess. Right?
- 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And then 410(b)
- 4 would be pages 660 et sequitur.
- 5 (Whereupon, the documents referred
- 6 to were marked as Comcast Exhibit
- 7 410(a) and 410(b) for
- 8 identification.)
- 9 Thank you. It's received in
- 10 evidence as identified.
- MR. BURKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- BY MR. BURKE:
- 13 Q So now turning to Comcast Exhibit
- 14 52 which I think we've taken care of the
- 15 housekeeping matters on that, this is an .
- 16 email. The cover email is from Brent Fisher
- 17 dated June 26, 2007 with an attachment. Have
- 18 you see this document before, Dr. Singer?
- 19 A I can't recall seeing it, but I
- 20 don't want to rule it out.
- 21 Q Okay. I wanted to direct your
- 22 attention to the PowerPoint presentation

- 1 that's entitled "NFL Network Distribution
- 2 Strategy Field Sales." Do you see that? That
- 3 begins at page 487 and if we could turn in
- 4 about four pages to page 490 and it's entitled
- 5 "General Takeaways."
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: What page are you
- 7 on?
- 8 MR. BURKE: It's the fourth page
- 9 of the PowerPoint, Your Honor, and at the
- 10 bottom it says "490."
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I got it. General
- 12 Takeaways.
- MR. BURKE: Yes.
- BY MR. BURKE:
- 15 Q And so we've got Time Warner, TWC,
- 16 which is Time Warner Cable. Does that seem
- 17 reasonable to you, Dr. Singer? And the third
- 18 bullet point down says, "Major obstacles,
- 19 price on distribution benchmarks, system
- 20 pushback is primarily a cost issue. At a
- 21 lower price, there's a place on the digital
- 22 basic or potentially analog." Do you see

- 1 that?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Have you ever heard that Time
- 4 Warner would be willing to carry the NFL
- 5 Network on the digital or analog tier if the
- 6 NFL Network would reduce its price?
- 7 A I'm not sure if I've heard of
- 8 that.
- 9 Q So this is news to you.
- 10 A It's not shocking news, but this
- 11 is the first time that I'm hearing of it.
- 12 Q Okay. If you could turn to the
- 13 next page, "charter" is also general takeaways
- 14 and it says, "Cost is a BIG issue for digital
- 15 basic." Big is capitalized. Do you see that?
- 16 And had you been aware that cost was a big
- 17 issue for charter with respect to the NFL
- 18 Network?
- 19 A I'd say I'm sensitive to the issue
- 20 generally across all MVPDs including those who
- 21 carry it. But I had not -- I was not aware of
- 22 this particular issue concerning charter.

- 1 Q And the next one, "Mediacom cost
- 2 is a big issue as is digital basic." Do you
- 3 see that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And then we get to WOW RCN and
- 6 again these are over builder competitors of
- 7 Comcast, right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And these are companies that are
- 10 in region rivals of the type that you
- 11 suggested we should pay particular attention
- 12 to, right?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q So it says, "WOW, had visit all
- 15 system and PRICING is the biggest issue.
- 16 Local folks are football fans." Do you see
- 17 that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And then for RCN it says, "Have
- 20 measured all systems and COST is the big
- 21 issue. Want games, but don't want to pay the
- 22 high price." Do you see that?